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Abstract
This work provides a proper formalization for Distributed Ledger Objects (as first defined in [1]), when processes may be Byzantine. The formal definitions are accompanied by algorithms to implement Byzantine Distributed Ledgers by utilizing a Byzantine Atomic Broadcast service.
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1 Introduction
The work in [1] introduced the notion of a Distributed Ledger Object (DLO) in an attempt to provide a formalization of Distributed Ledgers (blockchains) from a Distributed Computing point of view. A DLO is a concurrent shared object that stores a totally ordered sequence of records, and supports two operations: append and get. A record can be seen as an abstraction of a transaction or a block of transactions. As operations may access the DLO concurrently, the work in [1] defines eventual, sequential, and linearizable consistency guarantees for DLOs. These formalisms were independent of the communication medium (message-passing or shared-memory) and the timing model (synchrony or asynchrony). Three DLO implementations, one for each consistency guarantee, were specified in [1] for a message-passing asynchronous model, assuming that clients and servers may crash. However, in existing blockchain systems, both the servers (e.g., miners) and the clients (e.g., users) could be acting maliciously. To this respect, in this work we propose implementations where both the clients and the servers can be Byzantine, i.e., we propose implementations of Byzantine Tolerant linearizable DLOs.
Distributed Ledger Objects: A Distributed Ledger Object (DLO) is a concurrent object that stores a totally ordered sequence of records (initially empty). A DLO $L$ supports two operations, $L.append()$ and $L.get()$, which append a new record to the sequence and return the whole sequence, respectively [1]. The DLO is implemented by a set of servers that collaborate running a distributed algorithm. The DLO is used by a set of clients that access it by invoking append and get operations, which are translated into request and response messages exchanged with the servers. An operation $\pi$ is complete in an execution $\xi$, if both the request and matching response of $\pi$ appear in $\xi$. We say that an operation $\pi_1$ precedes an operation $\pi_2$, or $\pi_2$ succeeds $\pi_1$, in an execution $\xi$ if the response event of $\pi_1$ appears before the invocation event of $\pi_2$ in $\xi$; otherwise the two operations are concurrent.

Failure Model: In this work we assume that processes (servers and clients) can fail arbitrarily, i.e., we assume that failures are Byzantine. Hence, we assume a Byzantine system in which any number of clients, and up to $f$ servers can fail arbitrarily. The total number of servers is at least $3f + 1$. We also assume that the messages sent by any process (server or client) are authenticated, so that messages corrupted or fabricated by Byzantine processes are detected and discarded by correct processes [3]. Communication channels between correct processes are reliable but asynchronous.

Byzantine-tolerant DLO: This paper aims to propose algorithms that implement a linearizable DLO $L$ in Byzantine systems. Since Byzantine clients and server can behave arbitrarily, we define the properties that a DLO must satisfy adapted to Byzantine systems. In particular, since Byzantine processes may return any arbitrary sequence or append any record, the properties only consider the actions of correct processes.

**Byzantine Strong Prefix (BSP):** If two correct clients issue two $L.get()$ operations that return record sequences $S$ and $S'$ respectively, then either $S$ is a prefix of $S'$ or vice-versa.

**Byzantine Linearizability (BL):** Let $G$ be the set of all complete get operations issued by correct clients. Let $A$ be the set of complete append operations $L.append(r)$ such that $r \in S$ and $S$ is the sequence returned by some operation $L.get() \in G$. Then linearizability holds with respect to the set of operations $G \cup A$. This property is similar to the one described in [5] for registers.

In the remainder we say that a DLO is Byzantine Tolerant if it satisfies the properties BSP and BL in a Byzantine system. Observe that DLOs are oblivious to the syntax and semantics of the records they hold [1]. Hence, in this paper we do not need to care about whether the records appended by a Byzantine client are syntactically and semantically valid.

Byzantine Atomic Broadcast: In the algorithms we propose in this paper we use a Byzantine Atomic Broadcast (BAB) service for the server communication [2, 3, 4], that satisfies the following properties: validity, agreement, integrity and total order. Note that the work in [1] utilized a crash-tolerant Atomic Broadcast (AB) service to implement a crash-tolerant DLO. The properties assumed here for the BAB service are similar to their counterpart in the AB service, but applied only to correct processes. Despite the use of a BAB in this work, additional machinery is required in order to implement a Byzantine DLO and ensure the satisfaction of properties BSP and BL.
### 3 Algorithms for Byzantine-tolerant DLOs

**Code 1** API to the operations of a DLO \( L \), executed by Client \( p \)

1. **Init:** \( c \leftarrow 0 \)
2. **function** \( L \).get() 
3. \( c \leftarrow c + 1 \)
4. **send** request \((c, p, \text{GET})\) to \( \geq 2f + 1 \) servers
5. **wait** resp. \((c, i, \text{GETRESP}, S)\) from \( f + 1 \) different servers with the same sequence \( S \)
6. **return** \( S \)
7. **function** \( L \).append() 
8. \( c \leftarrow c + 1 \)
9. **send** request \((c, p, \text{APPEND}, r)\) to at least \( 2f + 1 \) different servers
10. **wait** resp. \((c, i, \text{APPENDRESP}, \text{ACK})\) from \( f + 1 \) different servers
11. **return** \( \text{ACK} \)

**Client Algorithm:** The algorithm executed by a client that invokes a **get** or **append** operation on a DLO \( L \) is presented in Code 1. An operation starts when the corresponding function of Code 1 is invoked, and it ends when the matching **return** instruction is executed. A Byzantine client \( p \) may not follow Code 1 (as it may behave arbitrarily) but still be able to append a record \( r \) in the ledger. So, some correct client may obtain, in the response to a **get** operation, a sequence that contains \( r \).

When an operation is invoked, a correct client increments a local counter and then sends operation requests to a set of at least \( 2f + 1 \) servers, to guarantee that at least \( f + 1 \) correct servers receive it. A **get** operation is completed when the client receives \( f + 1 \) consistent replies and an **append** is completed when the client receives \( f + 1 \) replies from different servers. Both cases guarantee the response from at least one correct server.

**Server Algorithm:** The algorithm executed by the servers is presented in Code 2. The algorithm uses the Byzantine Atomic Broadcast service to impose a total order in the messages shared among the servers. Operations received from clients are BAB-broadcast using this service, which are eventually BAB-delivered. An operation is processed by a server only when it has been BAB-delivered \( f + 1 \) times (sent by different servers). This implies that at least one correct server sent it. The properties of the BAB service guarantee that all correct servers receive the same sequence of messages BAB-delivered, and hence process the operations at the same point, maintaining their states consistent.

**Theorem 1.** The combination of the algorithms presented in Codes 1 and 2 implements a linearizable Byzantine Tolerant distributed ledger object.
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